CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney
KRISTIN L. VASSALLO
Assistant United States Attorney
970 Broad Street, Suite 700
Newark, NJ 07102

Tel: (973) 645-2835 Fax: (973) 297-2010

E-mail: kristin.vassallo@usdoj.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

GATEWAY CONSTRUCTION, et al.,

Defendants.

HON.

Civil Action No.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To: Clerk, Superior Court of New Jersey Monmouth County, Law Division 71 Monument Park Freehold, New Jersey 07728

> Michelle M. Smith, Esq., Clerk Office of the Superior Court Clerk Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 W. Market Street, 6th Floor North Wing P.O. Box 971 Trenton, NJ 08625

Robert F. DiStefano, Esq. Clark & DiStefano, P.C. 1500 Meeting House Road Post Office Box 235 Sea Girt, NJ 08750 Attorney for Plaintiff PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the case of *Liberty Mutual Insurance Company* v. Gateway Construction, et al., previously pending in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, Law Division, assigned Docket Number MON-L-10-18, is hereby removed to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d). The United States of America is hereby substituted for defendant Robert E. Bowe, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2). The United States, now substituted as a defendant in this matter, through its attorney, Craig Carpenito, U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey (Kristin L. Vassallo, Assistant U.S. Attorney, appearing), states as follows upon information and belief:

- 1. On or about January 2, 2018, the plaintiff, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, filed a complaint naming Robert E. Bowe, Jose L. Fernandes, Gateway Construction, and various John Does/Doe Corporations as defendants in the above-captioned action, which was filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, Law Division. A copy of the relevant summons and complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 2. The complaint demands judgment for payments that Liberty Mutual paid on behalf of Seungkyu Lee, who was allegedly injured in a car accident involving the defendants.
- 3. At all times relevant to this complaint, Robert E. Bowe was an employee of the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, a federal agency.

- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2) and 28 C.F.R. § 15.4, the United States Attorney's Office has certified that Robert E. Bowe was acting within the scope of his federal employment with the Drug Enforcement Administration at the time of the incident at issue in the complaint. A certification of scope of employment is attached as Exhibit B.
- 5. 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2) provides that the Federal Tort Claims Act is the exclusive remedy for tort claims against the United States. Robert E. Bowe has personal immunity and the United States is the only cognizable and proper defendant as to the state law tort claims Liberty Mutual has asserted against him in this action. Moreover, as a result of the certification of scope of employment attached to this complaint, this action is now deemed to be brought against the United States.
- 6. The United States district courts have exclusive jurisdiction over tort actions filed against the United States under the FTCA. See 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b).
- 7. Federal law directs that "[u]pon certification by the Attorney General that the defendant employee was acting within the scope of his office or employment at the time of the incident out of which the claim arose, any civil action or proceeding commenced upon such claim in a State court shall be removed without bond at any time before trial by the Attorney General to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place in which the action or proceeding is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2). On information and belief, no trial date for this matter has been set by the state court.

Case 3:18-cv-02072-FLW-TJB Document 1 Filed 02/13/18 Page 4 of 4 PageID: 4

8. Accordingly, the United States District Court for the District of New

Jersey has exclusive jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b), and this

action is properly removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2).

9. A copy of this Notice of Removal and the accompanying letter, attached

hereto as Exhibit C, will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of New Jersey,

Monmouth County, Law Division. Copies of the Notice of Removal will also be

served on all parties that have appeared in the action in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §

1446(d).

THEREFORE, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2679(d)(2), the above-captioned

action brought in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, Law

Division, is hereby removed to the United States District Court for the District of

New Jersey for further proceedings.

Dated:

Newark, New Jersey

February 13, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

CRAIG CARPENITO

United States Attorney

By:

/s/ Kristin L. Vassallo

KRISTIN L. VASSALLO

Assistant United States Attorney